# **EXHIBIT B**

```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
      THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE )
      LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR
                                       )
 4
      UNIVERSITY,
                  Plaintiff,
 5
                                          NO. C 18-01199 VC
        VS.
 6
 7
      AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et )
      al.,
                                       )
 8
                  Defendants.
 9
      AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS
10
11
12
13
              VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ANNETTE WALTON
14
                        Palo Alto, California
15
16
                       Thursday, July 25, 2019
17
18
19
20
21
     Reported by:
22
     LYDIA ZINN
23
     RPR, FCRR, CSR No. 9223
24
     Job No. SF 3405984
    PAGES 1 - 303
25
                                                    Page 1
```

#### Case 3:18-cv-01199-VC Document 216-5 Filed 02/09/22 Page 3 of 7

```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
      THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE
      LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR
 4
      UNIVERSITY,
                  Plaintiff,
 5
        VS.
                                          NO. C 18-01199 VC
 6
 7
      AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et )
      al.,
 8
                  Defendants.
 9
      AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS
10
11
12
13
14
15
                   Videotaped deposition of ANNETTE WALTON,
16
      taken on behalf of Defendants, at Perkins Coie, 3150
17
18
      Porter Drive, Palo Alto, CA 94304-1212, beginning
      at 10:08 a.m. and ending at 6:55 p.m., on Thursday,
19
      July 25, 2019, before LYDIA ZINN, Certified
20
21
      Shorthand Reporter No. 9223.
2.2.
23
2.4
25
                                                     Page 2
```

#### Case 3:18-cv-01199-VC Document 216-5 Filed 02/09/22 Page 4 of 7

```
1
      APPEARANCES:
      For Plaintiff:
 2
                               Perkins Coie LLP
                               3150 Porter Drive
 3
                               Palo Alto, CA 94304-1212
                                (650) 838-4300
 4
                               CBerka@perkinscoie.com
                               CHRISTOPHER P. BERKA
 5
                          BY:
      For Defendants Agilent Technologies, Inc., and HP,
 6
      Inc.:
 7
                               Environmental General
                                  Counsel, LLP
                               2120 University Avenue
 8
                               Berkeley, CA 94704
 9
                                (510) 495-0418
                               jbloom@egcounsel.com
                               JOSHUA A. BLOOM
10
                          BY:
11
      For Defendant Nokia:
                               Spencer Fane LLP
                                1 North Brentwood Boulevard
12
                               Suite 1000
                               St. Louis, MO 63105-3937
13
                                (314) 368-7398
14
                               ggreiman@spencerfane.com
                          BY: GERALD P. GREIMAN
15
16
      Also Present:
17
      Videographer Sean Grant, Veritext
18
19
20
21
22
2.3
24
25
                                                    Page 3
```

## Case 3:18-cv-01199-VC Document 216-5 Filed 02/09/22 Page 5 of 7

1	regulatory orders being issued against Agilent with	12:06:53
2	regard to its operations at the 1601 facility for that	
3	period of time?	
4	A. No.	
5	Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge of PCBs by	12:07:02
6	Agilent as part of its operations during Agilent's	
7	occupation of its facility?	
8	A. No.	
9	Q. And same question with regard to TCE?	
10	A. No.	12:07:17
11	Q. Okay. Subsequent to Agilent's occupancy, do you	
12	know if Stanford continued to lease the Property?	
13	A. I believe so, but that's a lease question that I	
14	think Jane probably could answer better than me. Yeah.	
15	Q. Do you know if there was another company that	12:07:40
16	ended up on the facility after Agilent or	
17	A. I believe two. Facebook, I think, was one. And	
18	Theranos was another.	
19	Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge of what Theranos	
20	did at 1601 while it was there?	12:08:00
21	A. I believe biotech. Basically, that was it.	
22	Q. But nothing you don't know anything more	
23	specific than that?	
24	A. Well, when they come on to our land, we ask for	
25	information about their chemical use. And we obtain	12:08:19
		Page 85

## Case 3:18-cv-01199-VC Document 216-5 Filed 02/09/22 Page 6 of 7

1	deal, so no.	16:21:03
2	Q. Were you aware that Agilent and Stanford entered	
3	into a Option Purchase Agreement for Agilent to	
4	A. I was aware that Stanford was thinking about	
5	acquiring the Property; and therefore we did our	16:21:12
6	Phase One and Phase Two sampling, and then created a	
7	letter of all of the impacts that we found.	
8	So as I said earlier, I don't know the specifics	
9	of the transaction or the deal.	
10	Q. Okay. So did you have any discussions with	16:21:25
11	Jean Snider with regard to price negotiations with	
12	regard to that deal?	
13	A. No. I just told her what we found, and for her to	
14	consider that in her negotiations.	
15	Q. Okay. Did you talk to her about what you	16:21:43
16	anticipated as potential cost of environmental	
17	remediation at the site to make it appropriate for	
18	residential?	
19	A. No, because we wanted to make that Agilent's	
20	responsibility; if we found anything, that they would	16:22:00
21	be responsible for it. So what we found, we shared	
22	with Agilent.	
23	They declined. They sent us back a nice letter,	
24	basically saying, Thanks, but no thanks. Go do what	
25	you need to do, Stanford.	16:22:13
		Page 208

## Case 3:18-cv-01199-VC Document 216-5 Filed 02/09/22 Page 7 of 7

1	And at that point I just said, Okay. Well, this	16:22:16
2	is something that we need to further assess. We know	
3	there's PCBs. We know there's TCE. I don't know how	
4	extensive it is, so I can't put a number on it.	
5	Q. Okay. Do you know if Stanford did environmental	16:22:28
6	investigation as part of its due diligence with regard	
7	to the Option to Purchase Agreement?	
8	A. All I know is that when we did the Phase One and	
9	Phase Two	
10	And I don't know how close that was to that deal,	16:22:50
11	that agreement. I don't know.	
12	MR. BLOOM: All right. I think this is 100.	
13	(Deposition Exhibit 100 marked for identification.)	
14	BY MR. BLOOM	
15	Q. So this is a 2000 or actually, if you can, tell	16:23:19
16	me what this is, if you know.	
17	A. Some this is an e-mail from me to Susan, just	
18	letting her know that we're going to do start our	
19	due diligence on the Property, 1601 California Avenue,	
20	and that I want her to give me a proposed Scope of Work	16:23:41
21	to go in to do mostly Phase Two sampling at this point,	
22	because we had a lot of information in our files about	
23	a sump, about those drawings of the transformer; and	
24	then to look at things that are part of facility	
25	closure. So it's mostly dealing with Phase Two	16:24:04
		Page 209